## **FILED**

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

A Delaware Limited Liability Partnership 500 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047 (973) 360-1100 JAN 09 2009

Judge Jamie D. Happas

Attorneys for Defendants

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JOHNSON & JOHNSON

PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C.,

and ORTHO-McNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., now known as ORTHO-McNEIL-

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

HEATHER BEADLE and BRANDON BEADLE, w/h,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Plaintiffs,

DOCKET NUMBER: MID-L-9536-07-MT

CIVIL ACTION

v.

IN RE ORTHO EVRA® BIRTH CONTROL PATCH LITIGATION CASE CODE 275

ORTHO-McNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, : INC.; JOHNSON & JOHNSON; JOHNSON : & JOHNSON PHARMACEUTICAL : RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C., : f/k/a R.W. JOHNSON RESEARCH : INSTITUTE, JANE DOE DISTRIBUTORS : (1-50): ULL DOE MANUEACTURERS (1-50)

INSTITUTE, JANE DOE DISTRIBUTORS: (1-50); JILL DOE MANUFACTURERS (1:50); JACK DOE WHOLESALERS (1-50); JAKE DOE SELLERS (1-50); JOHN DOE

MARKETERS (1-50); JOAN DOE FORMULATORS (1-50); JIM DOE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS (1-50); and

JEAN DOE (1-50),

SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDER

Defendants.

THIS MATTER having come before the Court by Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, attorneys for Defendants Johnson & Johnson & Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, LLC, and Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc., now known as Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on application for summary judgment pursuant to R. 4:46, the Court having considered this application, and good cause having been shown;

It is on this 9th day of January ,2009

## **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. Defendants' motion for summary judgment be and hereby is GRANTED;
- 2. Plaintiffs' Complaint be and hereby is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE; and
- 3. A copy of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record within days from the date of entry.

Hon. Japae D. Happas, J.S.C.

This motion was:

\_\_\_ Opposed

★ Unopposed

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.